

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**AMOUNT REQUESTED** \$26,917

**1. Project Number** (Assigned by federal unit): 117-414

**2. Project Name:** Kerby Peak Trail Maintenance

**3. County:** Josephine

**4. Project Sponsor:** Jeanne Klein

**5. Date:** 4/8/03

**6. Sponsors Phone #:** 541-618-2220

**7. Sponsor's E-mail:** jeanne\_klein@blm.gov

**8. Project Location** (attach project area maps showing general and specific locations of project.)

a. 4<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): \_\_\_\_\_

b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Deer Creek, Grayback/Sucker

c. Legal Location:

Township 38S Range 6W Section(s) 31

Township 39S Range 6W Section(s) 6, 7, 8

Township 38S Range 7W Section(s) 36

Township 39S Range 7W Section(s) 1

d. BLM District Medford

e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass

f. National Forest \_\_\_\_\_

g. Forest Service District \_\_\_\_\_

h. State / Private / other lands involved? \*Yes No

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:**

Project goals and objectives including brushing and reconstruction, where needed, of the Kerby Peak Trail from the White Creek trailhead to Rabbit Lake, for a total distance of six miles. Directional signing is also needed to direct visitors from Highway 199 to the trailhead.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

The Kerby Peak Trail is located between Selma and Williams, and is accessed off of Deer Creek Road. The trail was reconstructed in 1998 and has not been maintained since its construction. There are areas that are becoming grown over, and areas where slides have narrowed the trail width. The proposal is to contract a crew to brush and reconstruct, where needed, the existing trail from the White Creek Trailhead to Kerby Peak. Installation of 6 signs is needed: along Highway 199, Deer Creek Road/White Creek Road intersection, and White Creek/East White Creek Road intersection. Also, along East White Creek Road, at least two other signs need to be installed at road junctions. A new trailhead sign is needed. The current sign is leaning, shot up and hard to see.

**11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?**

☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then describe.

**12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?** [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

☒ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]

☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]

☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]

☐ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

**13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]**

☐ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

☒ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): \_\_\_\_\_ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]

☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

- ☐ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]      ☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]  
☐ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]      ☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]  
☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]  
☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: \_\_\_\_\_

**14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes** [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

(Use workload measures used for the budget process)

- a. Total Acres: \_\_\_\_\_ b. Total Miles: 6  
c. No. Structures: 6 signs  
d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): \_\_\_\_\_  
e. No. Of Laborer Days: two weeks  
f. Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_  
g. **Program Element:** HC

**15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date** [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 2 weeks, 8/30/04

**16. Target Species (plants/wildlife etc.) Benefited:** (if applicable) N/A

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

The trail work may provide local jobs if Northwest Youth Corps is used for the work, or if a local contractor is used to complete the maintenance.

**18. How is this project in the best public interest?** [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**

This trail provides access to high elevation habitat near local communities. It passes through the Brewer Spruce Research Natural Area, providing the public access to this unique habitat. The trail is a historic trail, used in the 1930's by the CCC as a training route.

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?**

The trail maintenance benefits federal lands by fixing slide areas along the trail, reducing erosion and sedimentation problems. Also, brushing of the trail provides for a safer route for the public, avoiding the possibility of getting lost along the trail.

**20. Status of Project Planning**

- |   |       |                             |   |
|---|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| a. NEPA Complete:                             | x Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |   |
| b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____ |       |                             |   |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:     | x Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:    | x Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete:                  | x Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:                | Yes   | <input type="checkbox"/> No | x Not Applicable                        |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | Yes   | <input type="checkbox"/> No | x Not Applicable                        |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:                | x Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed:               | x Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |

\* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

**21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment**

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

- ☒ Contract ☒ Federal Workforce  
☐ County Workforce ☐ Volunteers  
☒ **Other** (specify): Northwest Youth Corps

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?** (Sec. 204(e)(3))

☐ Yes ☒ No

**23. Anticipated Project Costs** [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ \$26,917

b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes ☒ No ☐ If yes, then display by fiscal year

e. FY04 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

f. FY05 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**\*\*\* Note: If you have a complex budget, add it as an appendix. The Resource Advisory Committee will want to know specifically how the funds will be spent.**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]</b>	<b>Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]</b>	<b>Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]</b>	<b>Total Available Funds</b>
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$2,500 (1/2 wm)		\$2,500
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$2,500 (1/2 wm)		\$2,500
28. Contract Preparation		\$2,500 (1/2 wm)		\$2,500
29. Contract Administration		\$1,250 (1/4 wm)		\$1,250
30. Contract Cost		\$13,170		\$13,170
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies		\$1,000 directional and informational signing \$300 vehicle		\$1,300
33. Monitoring		\$1,250		\$1,250
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal		\$24,470		\$31,970
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$2,447		\$2,447
37. Total Cost Estimate		<b>\$26,917</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$26,917</b>

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

**39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203 (b)(6))**

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

BLM will conduct yearly site visits to see if additional maintenance is needed. Photos will be taken.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The project has the potential to be done by the Northwest Youth Corps or the Josephine County Prison Crew. BLM will be responsible for determining how the project will be accomplished.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$1,250